

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/697,072	CLEMEN, MARK J.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Philip H. Leung	3742

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Philip H. Leung.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Philip Askenazy.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 April 2006

**Time:** 2 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

29

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney agreed to amend claim 29 to make it clear that the measuring step is 'measuring the power spectrum' in order to distinguish it from the "measuringmicrowave absorption step" recited in claim 26 by an Examiner's amendment (see the amendment for detail)..